

**TURN TO PAGE 9 FOR A MESSAGE  
TO REBECCA FADA AND HER FACEBOOK FRIENDS.**

**Facebook, October 19, 2010:** Rebecca Fada of Winnipeg, [www.facebook.com/rebecca.fada](http://www.facebook.com/rebecca.fada), posted a video from [www.FCKH8.com](http://www.FCKH8.com) on her Facebook page, with the following commentary:

**Rebecca Fada:** *Hello controversy! Before ya'll get offended by little kids using the "F-Bomb" keep in mind that this video was created to start buzz and to get people talking about a very important issue. It's purpose is to make you feel uncomfortable and for people like us to spread this discomfort. I think the REAL offence is that it is the year 2010 and we are STILL having these conversations. The REAL offence is that we live in culture where homophobia is literally KILLING our youth... last time I checked, profanity never caused a 13 year old to hang himself in his bedroom or on the tree outside.... I bought my t-shirt! American culture floods Canadians so I'm on board for fighting the good fight!*  
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0TMTTrpugT0E&has\\_verified=1](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0TMTTrpugT0E&has_verified=1)

The post in Rebecca Fada's Facebook page appeared on the pages of all her Facebook friends, along with quick links to offer a positive "gesture" ("Like") or to offer commentary ("Comment"). One of those friends is Kim Williams-Schewaga, and along with 6 other Facebook friends, offers a "Like". At the time there were no comments linked to Rebecca Fada's video post and her associated commentary.

In Facebook, posts and "gestures" of a user appear on their Facebook friends' Facebook pages. Kim Williams-Schewaga is on my personal list of Facebooks. Through this double-node linking I was able to view Rebecca Fada's video, and on October 20, 2010 I posted the following comment to the video:

**Al Poullis:** *7 likes and no comments? What am I missing here? NO, I don't like this, and NO, I am not homophobic! This has just gone way too far. I don't know how to teach my kids that nature has so many flaws, simply because it isn't true. If you don't understand this don't just flame me in your inability to engage intellectually.*

**Starting on the following page is the complete commentary for this post.**

The corresponding Facebook page is at

<http://www.facebook.com/rebecca.fada/posts/105385462861236>

The video from FCKH8.com was posted on their website, [www.fackh8.com](http://www.fackh8.com) and on YouTube at [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0TMTTrpugT0E&has\\_verified=1](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0TMTTrpugT0E&has_verified=1) (Age verification required.)

**TURN TO PAGE 9 FOR A MESSAGE  
TO REBECCA FADA AND HER FACEBOOK FRIENDS.**

October 24, 2010

Al Poullis, Oakville ON, Canada

[www.poullis.com](http://www.poullis.com)

[www.facebook.com/al.poullis](http://www.facebook.com/al.poullis)



[Al Poullis](#) 7 likes and no comments? What am I missing here? NO, I don't like this, and NO, I am not homophobic! This has just gone way too far. I don't know how to teach my kids that nature has so many flaws, simply because it isn't true. If you don't understand this don't just flame me in your inability to engage intellectually.

October 20 at 9:37am · Like



[Rebecca Fada](#)

First off, who are you and why are you creeping my facebook? Secondly, why do MY friends who are commenting on MY facebook who don't even know you have to engage with you intellectually about this topic? They are entitled to "like" whatever they see fit.

A campaign that has raised OVER \$125000.00 in under 2 weeks for an excellent cause has NOT gone too far. It is PERFECT in all senses.

If you need to explain to your kids why homosexuality is not a FLAW and is a NATURAL thing you could perhaps open a BOOK before you make comments about the "flaws of nature". Its a very simple thing to explain. Homosexual behaviour can be found in over 1500 species! Some of those being primates. Refer to the research by Bruce Bagemihl who is a Canadian biologist for just ONE extensive study on this. Not only do THOUSANDS of species engage in intercourse but also pair bonding... Check out some articles published by the National Geographic for info like this....

"Roy and Silo, two male chinstrap penguins at New York's Central Park Zoo have been inseparable for six years now. They display classic pair-bonding behavior—entwining of necks, mutual preening, flipper flapping, and the rest. They also have sex, while ignoring potential female mates."

I'm straight but I'm also not a complete idiot. You merely need to learn how to read in order to understand and explain why homosexuality is not only natural in nature but also with the human species which is really just an extension of primates.

October 20 at 12:27pm · Like · [2 people](#)



[Rebecca Fada](#)

some other FACTS published by news-medical.net...

"The most well-known homosexual animal is the dwarf chimpanzee, one of humanity's closes relatives. The entire species is bisexual. Sex plays an conspicuous role in all their activities and takes the focus away from violence, which is the most typical method of solving conflicts among primates and many other animals.

Lions are also homosexual. Male lions often band together with their brothers to lead the pride. To ensure loyalty, they strengthen the bonds by often having sex with each other.

Homosexuality is also quite common among dolphins and killer whales. The pairing of males and females is fleeting, while between males, a pair can stay together for years. Homosexual sex between different species is not unusual either. Meetings between different dolphin species can be quite violent, but the tension is often broken by a "sex orgy".

Homosexuality is a social phenomenon and is most widespread among animals with a complex herd life.

Among the apes it is the females that create the continuity within the group. The social network is maintained not only by sharing food and the child rearing, but also by having sex. Among many of the female apes the sex organs swell up. So they rub their abdomens against each other," explains Petter Bockman and points out that animals have sex because they have the desire to, just like we humans."

October 20 at 12:30pm · Like



[Al Poullis](#)

Hello Rebecca,

You don't own the subject. You don't even own "your facebook". My name is Al Poullis, I live in Oakville ON and I am "creeping" your facebook because Facebook's creators wanted it that way. I am a friend of Kim Williams-Schewaga's and I saw the post in a thread under her name.

Resemblance to the animal world and animals' sex life is (a-priori) quite the poor argument, simply because they do not engage into social activities the way humans do. You may agree that human social life affects human sexuality.

Besides, animals have sex for reproduction purposes only. It's their nature. It's Nature. Therefore, any reference to their world to back the homosexuality argument is a poor one because the inability of homosexual couples to reproduce would be against Nature.

The very small percentage of biological homosexuals have my absolute sympathy. My problem is with the social homosexuals and their supporters; this whole social phenomenon is affecting everything we are trying to create within our families.

I always found it quite interesting that so high are the stakes and the money-making opportunities behind homosexuallism, that not even many scientists speak openly on the issue. Karen Horney, Froyd's student got it right - tough/rough father for girls and crazy/neurotic mother for boys is the culprit. We've got lots of those in our modern societies.

I am finding that many people confuse acceptance to homosexuality with sexual fantasies. Two completely different things. Spicing-up one's sex life has nothing to do with the "guilt" of rejecting social homosexuality. So, you are safe. Either you take a negative or positive stance to the whole homosexuality issue, what you do in your bedroom is your own personal choice.

Thanks for posting back.

P.S. You seem to agree with me that homosexuality is a social phenomenon, and not biological. Your reference to "some other FACTS" (the capitals are yours, not mine) state, among other things: "Homosexuality is a social phenomenon and is most widespread among animals with a complex herd life."

October 20 at 1:13pm · Like



[Magdalena Kupczak](#) Rebecca,

I think what Al's mumble jumble means is that he wishes that he were gay.

October 20 at 1:38pm · Like



[Rebecca Fada](#)

I can't believe I am even replying to such a PATHETIC, IGNORANT, UNEDUCATED argument.

Humans are merely an EXTENSION of primates... you probably believe we evolved from Adam and Eve so I'm sure even this statement is a little far fetched for you. To say our sex life does not resemble animals because we engage in advanced social activities is just ignorant. How can I even respond to such absurdity? Of course human social life affects human sexuality...just like animal social life affects animal sexuality... The human species are STILL animals... with advanced consciousness...that doesn't make us biologically or GENETICALLY different than the primates we evolved from.

HOW CAN YOU SAY ANIMALS ONLY HAVE SEX FOR REPRODUCTIVE PURPOSES? PLEASE open a book and educate yourself about particular issues before you go ahead and make GROSS assumptions in a public domain. ANY biological researcher would tell you that animals do NOT just engage in sexual activity for reproduction. They do it for pleasure, social order, to relieve violence AND just because. The whole "animals only have sex for reproduction" is a VERY outdated argument usually brought forth by patriarchal religious assholes who believe and support the suppression of women. You might want to do some reading about that as well and all the social research that has been done on that topic.

So now you go on in your third paragraph to ADMIT that biological homosexuals exist. Which completely contradicts your previous statements about how animal's have sex ONLY for reproductive purposes (A LAUGHABLE STATEMENT I MUST SAY AGAIN)... so which is it? Do animals ONLY have sex for reproductive purposes which means that homosexuality does NOT exist outside of a social phenomenon or does it exist for SOME people biologically? Your problem is with social homosexuals and their supports and my problem is with redneck, ignorant fascists.

Homosexuality is affecting everything you are trying to create with our families? Did that ACTUALLY just write that. You know what's affecting our families? THE STRAIGHT BULLIES that harass homosexuals or suspected homosexual CHILDREN to the point where they feel there only option is to KILL THEMSELVES. THATS AFFECTING OUR FAMILIES. The fact that some of my friends choose same sex relationships has never affected ANYTHING to do with my straight lifestyle.

Not many scientists speak open about the issue? What does that even mean?? Scientists speak openly about the issues THEY RESEARCH. They don't comment on areas scientifically that are not within there realm of research. OF COURSE most scientists do not comment on homosexuality when they are not studying it. The ones who DO study it are VERY open about discussing it. THAT's there job.

PLEASE DONT TELL ME YOU JUST QUOTED A PSYCHOLOGICAL RESEARCHER WHO STARTED MEDICAL SCHOOL IN 1906? Are you kidding me? Oh and I think it's important that I mention that it's not FROYD... it's FREUD! Karen Horney was a student of a psychologist who believed that our main drive in life is to fuck our mothers and he was high on cocaine for most of his adult life. Freud is IMPORTANT in remembering where Psychology began but NO ONE agrees with Freud anymore. All his work is outdated and crazy. Since you seem to be a supporter of Freud's work... is it safe to assume all the issues in your life are due to the fact that you are sexually obsessed with fucking your mom??? because if that was true, that would explain a lot!

October 20 at 1:48pm · Like



[Rebecca Fada](#)

oh and I just looked into something since I knew I shouldn't of taken your word that Karen Horney was a student of Freud. It's been a while since I studied the founders and early researchers of Psychology and since I'm not an ignorant asshole, I thought it was worth opening up some of my books. low and behold the only science you bring into your argument is from a woman who practiced in the early 1900s but it is also worth mentioning she is NOT a student of Freuds...she merely studied his work and was a follower. She also recalled her father as a

pediphile... and her mother left her father , taking the children with her. I guess with those credentials Karen Horney really does know how to say things best about family life!!!!

Should I also mention to you that Karen Horney was a German researcher who researched in Germany DURING world war 1 and after that as well. Quoting researchers who are also Nazi's makes your argument and position so much stronger!

Your love for Nazi science is not surprising...

October 20 at 2:11pm · Like



[Al Poullis](#)

You are utterly far from discussing this. I am sorry.

Obviously your motive was not to debate. You see, with this subject true debate is hardly ever the case!

How is attacking and slashing me protecting your friendships and supporting your gay friends?

October 20 at 2:12pm · Like



[Al Poullis](#) I wonder what you are about to call me next! Your reaction to all this and the language you use unveil your up-bringing...

October 20 at 2:16pm · Like



[Cameron Green](#) .....folks I think we have a winner.....;)

October 20 at 2:23pm · Like



[Rebecca Fada](#)

You are right. I am utterly far from discussing uneducated, ignorant, statements that contradict scientifically proven evidence. Your arguments are as strong as ones that would be presented in the existence of Santa Clause.

Attacking and slashing you does not protect and support my beliefs about equality for all but in the short term may you feel some of the hate that homosexual feel on a daily basis. May I remind you that I do not know you, I did not visit your facebook page and I did NOT start this discussion. You are invading MY space. I'd never go to YOUR space and share all my beliefs with you.

You are also right about this reflecting my upbringing. My mother raised me to be strong, opinionated, fierce and to never cower to ignorance. I was not raised to be kind and gentle to those who are non deserving. She supports me in my MANY years of post-secondary education and instills in me the strong belief that we ALL have a right to exist the way we see fit providing it is not hurting anyone.

I will fight ignorance likes yours and all it represents until the day I die. One day we will be victorious. Equality for all.

October 20 at 2:26pm · Like



[Cameron Green](#) For a guy that lists his interest as karate, psychology and politics... You would have expected a greater response....lmao.

October 20 at 2:48pm · Like



[Rebecca Douglas](#) This random guy seems to be pretty defensive... I wonder why he seems to think having sex for pleasure is so "unnatural". Maybe the only time someone used you for pleasure was a dog humping his leg. Sorry random, you're making a fool of yourself here in Winnipeg. Also, if what you say is true, congratulations for solving every sociological, zoological and biological problem in the world, but since this is unlikely, you come off kinda dumb. Love you Rebecca, and your strong voice!!!

October 20 at 3:04pm · Like · [2 people](#)



[Al Poullis](#)

My responses where all conclusive, inclusive, circular and meant very specific purposes. Apologies for any typos, English is not my first language.

Cameron, I was here to debate and share, not to pick up fights :)

It is saddening to me that our younger generation refuses to learn how to debate, and we remain stale in our views. Just "slash the opposing view", and that's all there is to it. You folks are the future, and I honestly wish you learn to learn. But that remains my wish.

Nice meeting you all.

October 20 at 3:06pm · Like



[Rebecca Fada](#)

Here is the problem...even though by stating your arguments were all "conclusive, inclusive and circular" sounds pretty it does not mean that they were. Infact, they are not! I've rebutted all your ignorant and absurd arguments with science AND by throwing in some foul language because people like you disgust me. It doesn't mean my responses are not credible.

Spelling "Froyd" incorrectly is not a typo or a mistake based on English not being your first language... let's be honest.

Stale in our views? You quote researchers from 1906! I quote current and up to date research by some of the most critically acclaimed scientists of our time. Our views are current...yours are not. Hopefully all the people with views that reflect the early 1900s will die soon and this new "younger generation" will do what's right. Drop dead.

October 20 at 3:16pm · Like



**[Eric Kyrzyk](#)** Rebs your my hero. The main issue here is that of basic human rights and equality. These campaigns are here to create awareness and bring hope and support to those who have little. They may be loud or obnoxious but they get people talking. They reveal the true character of some. Agree with the style of these campaigns or not but at the end of the day we're all human, we're all equal and we all deserve the same respect and dignity.

October 20 at 3:33pm · Like



**[Lindsey Williams](#)** Some guys marry guys. Get over it. Al I am Kims cousin and I would appreciate if you keep your comments to yourself. Thank you and have an excellent day.

October 20 at 3:42pm · Like



**[Eric Kyrzyk](#)**

To anyone reading this: How would you treat your own children if they were gay? Would YOU be considered flawed for producing a gay child? Would they be flaws of nature? Even as a baby? Later in life, what if they never told you they were gay? What if they did? Would you love them less when they come out? That same person who was your little baby boy or girl. Who you rocked to sleep in your arms every night. Do they deserve anything less than you? I have a brother and we we're both raised the same way in a somewhat religious house. We had exposure to many different aspects of life including both sports and culture. I'm gay. He is not. So what happened? Do you think I am a flaw of nature? My parents did not expect to have gay children. Were they happy at first? No. But I am still their child and they do not see me as flawed. They love me and support me like a parent does. And they would do anything to ensure my life is as good as anyone else's. Through their actions and words I know I am loved. It's life. And if you're children happen to turn out to be gay then you're point of views may shift slightly. They will still be your child. And they will always love you and adore you and you will do the same.

October 20 at 4:27pm · Like



**[Lindsey Williams](#)** Team Reeba = Lovin' gays 24/7 and I am glad I am on the team!

October 20 at 5:52pm · Like



**[Richard Allan Jo](#)**

Let me through, I got here late!

I'm not even going to weigh in on the topic because there's no need. Rebecca handled that beautifully. I will, however, discuss your claim to being here to debate.

It seems to me you were here more to push an opinion that nobody asked for and thought that it might go unchallenged. However, when she makes her points, you seem undereducated and unprepared for rebuttal. So, when you ran out of information to back your own claims, you focus on the fact she insulted you a little bit. Fair enough, but in your opening statement you claim she was incapable of engaging you intellectually. You started with

an insult from the very beginning.

But, all insults aside, if you take just the "debate topic" points of this conversation, you were completely outclassed. You lacked adequate information to buoy your argument.

Finally, you claim that the younger generation needs to learn how to learn, when, in fact, you walked in here with a closed mind and it remained that way throughout. It's this younger generation that consider the possibilities endless for how far humanity can advance.

October 20 at 10:13pm · Like



[Melanie Scott](#) oh my word....it's one thing to creep on facebook.... but it is just weird to make comments and get into debates with people you don't know....get a life loser! I do agree that Reba you handled this better than I would have! lol

October 20 at 11:45pm · Like



[Dan Licoppe](#) "I enjoy watching spider monkeys jerk off. Yeah, I said it, and I don't care who knows it" - Mel Silverback.

Thursday at 10:16pm · Like



[Charlie Onyske](#) Is it just me or is Al hawt?

Friday at 1:22am · Like



[Charlie Onyske](#) Oh Heather, that's not very "circular and inclusive" of you... He seems... nice...gulp.  
My female dog keeps humping my female cat... I'm pretty sure it must be the fault of a society run amok. Your move professor!

Friday at 11:54am · Like



October 24, 2010 – A message to Rebecca Fada and her Facebook friends, in response to the commentary on Rebecca Fada's facebook page <http://www.facebook.com/rebecca.fada/posts/105385462861236>, on or about end of October 2010

**“WE HAVE FOUND THE CULPRIT AND WE HAVE CORNERED HIM.  
CONVICTION: DEATH BY STONING.”**

---

DID YOU REALLY THINK “THAT WAS IT”? YOU STEP ALL OVER ME AND CALL ME “REDNECK, IGNORANT FASCIST”, “SEXUALLY OBSESSED WITH FUCKING MY MOM” AND “MY LOVE FOR NAZI SCIENCE IS NOT SURPRISING” AND THAT “MAYBE THE ONLY TIME SOMEONE USED ME FOR PLEASURE WAS A DOG HUMPING HIS LEG”, YOU COMMAND ME TO “DROP DEAD”, AND YOU THOUGHT THAT YOUR UNPRECEDENTED ATTACKS ON A PERSONAL LEVEL EVER HAD A POSSIBILITY TO GO UNNOTICED?

**FOOLS!**

YOU ALL MISSED THE POINT YOU BULLIES! ALONG WITH THE THOUSANDS WHO MISS IT DAILY, PERPETUATING THE PROBLEM. REBECCA FADA THE PACK LEADER PROCLAIMED: “THE REAL OFFENCE IS THAT WE LIVE IN CULTURE WHERE HOMOPHOBIA IS LITERALLY KILLING OUR YOUTH.” READ BELOW AND **LEARN WHAT REALLY IS KILLING YOUNG HOMOSEXUALS, YOU DANGEROUS MORONS.**

REBECCA FADA, WHAT DO YOU KNOW ABOUT ME, MY FIGHTS FOR DEMOCRACY, FREEDOM, ABOUT MY FIGHT AND VICTORY FOR JUSTICE AND HUMAN RIGHTS AGAINST MY OWN COUNTRY’S SYSTEM AND GOVERNMENT, YOU FOOL, AND YOU DARE CALL ME AN “IGNORANT FASCIST”?

“EVERYONE HAS A RIGHT TO EXIST” YOU BOASTED, YET YOU WANTED TO STONE ME TO DEATH, SIMPLY BECAUSE YOU THOUGHT I AM A ‘HOMOPHOBE’, AND “HOMOPHOBE” MUST DIE. “DROP DEAD” YOU COMMANDED AT THE END. YOU MAY NOW WASH MY BLOOD CLEAN FROM YOUR HANDS, YOU HYPOCRITE TREACHEROUS FOOL!

REBECCA FADA, YOU WHO PROFESS EXPERTISE ON THE SUBJECT AND SAY THAT MATTERS RELATED TO HOMOSEXUALITY ARE “*VERY SIMPLE THING[S] TO EXPLAIN*”: TAKE YOUR PACK OF WOLVES, AND **GO ANSWER ERIC’S VERY LEGITIMATE QUESTIONS, RIGHT THERE ON YOUR FACEBOOK PAGE WHERE HE POSTED THEM FOR A REASON “FOR ANYONE READING THIS”, YOU “EXPERT” ON HOMOSEXUALITY! DANGEROUS FOOL** THAT YOU DARE CALLING ME AN “IGNORANT FASCIST”!

YOU WOLVES WHO WANTED PIECES OF MY DEAD FLESH TO CLAIM YOUR “VICTORY”; YOU WHO ARE THE EPITOME OF BULLIES AND BIGOTS (YOU WANT ME TO DROP DEAD BECAUSE YOU I AM A ... “HOMOPHOBE”) BUT HAVE THE AUDACITY TO CALL ME THESE NAMES; YOU WHO DID NOT RESPECT EVEN YOUR OWN FRIEND KIM; YOU WHO WOULDN’T ALLOW ME TO DEFEND MYSELF (“KEEP YOUR COMMENTS TO YOURSELF” YOU COMMANDED); **DID YOU REALLY THINK “THAT WAS IT”?**

KEEP READING, YOU FOOLISH, IGNORANT SPOILED LITTLE BRATS AND ALL YOUR QUESTIONS WILL BE ANSWERED.

**READ MY OPENING STATEMENT AGAIN – YOU MORONS THAT YOU DARE CALL ME AN “IGNORANT FASCIST”:**

---

***“I don't know how to teach my kids that nature has so many flaws, simply because it isn't true. If you don't understand this don't just flame me in your inability to engage intellectually.”***

A statement clearly designed to provoke and hopefully entice a debate, on such a critical subject.

Basically, what I opened with – you fools – was this:

“Homosexuality is not Nature's flaw. Many people are homosexuals, and if homosexuality was Nature's flaw, then we would have to accept that Nature is able to produce many flaws. Which is not true. (Nature doesn't produce so many flaws.) So, if homosexuality cannot be attributed to Nature, what is it attributed to?”

And I even warned:

“Let's have an intellectual discussion. If you don't understand what I am saying, or you cannot have an intellectual discussion (a debate) don't just call me names and throw mud and shoot me down.”

**(Eric:** No, I have never said you are a flaw of Nature! Those who say so should be condemned, because they are playing with your mind. Those who said I said so were simply distorting my words.

*Note:* “Flaming”, you narrow-minded fools, was used in the social networking context. of “throw trash and mud and flames” you idiots.

Read my opening line again and **understand** it this time – I did not ask you to agree with me, you fools! How could you even agree or disagree, you morons, when you didn't even **understand** what I was saying! “I think I understand” is NOT “progress” you idiots. I hoped we could establish the parameters for a debate. **Instead of a debate you chose bullying!** Your wolf pack leader thinks that “*the REAL offence is that we live in culture where homophobia is literally KILLING our youth*”. [paraphrase] *Here is one right here, right now, so let's kill him and the offence will have been treated*”. Fools!

So if homosexuality is not a result of flaws in nature, what is it? We both agreed it is a result of society. Rebecca Fada the “expert” on homosexuality has informed us all that “*this is a very simple thing to explain: Homosexual behavior can be found in over 1500 species*”. So, she is “arguing”, since monkeys and penguins display homosexual behaviors, it should be no surprise that humans do too. You are SUCH a fool!

**Even if it is true that animals exhibit homosexual behavior, monkeys and penguins do not commit suicide as a result of their homosexuality, you idiot!** Then again, you proclaim that homophobia is literally what is killing young homosexuals. I wonder if there are “homophobic” animals! If there are, then I am sure we will soon hear about homosexual animals that commit suicide. Fool! **The theory and supporting evidence points elsewhere for the real reason behind the high suicide rates. See *Internal Homophobia* on page 15, you fools that dare call me an “ignorant fascist”!**

**LET ME MAKE THINGS “AS SIMPLE AS POSSIBLE, BUT NO SIMPLER”**

---

(Google this phrase too expert Googler Rebecca Fada – turn to page 17 to see why your Google skills are not enough to convince ME about your scientific and subject-matter expertise.)

**Am I for gay marriages?** No, I am not. How will the Oedipus Complex be ever broken, you fools, with the absence of the opposite sex parent so that the child can grow a healthy personality? Go study the ancient Greeks, you idiots, and learn what “archetypes” are, before you lecture me about Roy and Silo, the two male gay chinstrap penguins at New York's Central Park Zoo. NEITHER ROY NOR SILO

COMMITTED SUICIDE YOU FOOL REBECCA FADA , therefore I am not interested in your argument! I wasn't interested in it in the first place, because you were trying to "prove" to me that homosexuality is a social phenomenon, not a flaw of nature. I HAD ALREADY SAID THAT IN MY OPENING STATEMENT, YOU MORON WHO DARE CALL ME A FASCIST, but you and everyone else chose to "understand" what suited you best! Have you ask Eric's questions yet?

**Would I fight against gay marriages?** Nope! I wouldn't go out of my way for that. That's not my interest either. Besides, I strongly feel that "fighting" the issue with Constitutional Bills and declaring marriages illegal doesn't help. If same-sex people want to get married, let it be! If it reaches that point it is too late to fix. My interest lies in the Erics of the world. HIS QUESTIONS ARE STILL UNANSWERED YOU "EXPERT" MORONS THAT THINK THE HIGH RATE OF SUICIDE AMONG YOUNG HOMOSEXUALS IS CAUSED BY EXTERNAL FACTORS SUCH AS BULLYING. FOOLS!

**Do I hate gays?** No I do not, you morons! Of course everyone deserves same human rights. This is given. Is anyone even questioning this? What I hate is when people like you idiots think that I hate gays! What I hate is that 10 seconds into similar discussions people ask me "Are you a homophobe?" People who don't even know what the word "homophobe" means! You say I am afraid of what or whom again? (Of course, you already know what "phobos (φόβος)" means, you fools, right?) What I hate is when Rebecca Fadas (the plural is intentional) proclaim expertise on a subject that is quite complex, while they are clueless. (For once again, none of the gay animals your Googled story referred to committed suicide, you fool Rebecca Fada!) Their ignorance creates more problems than those solved by any motives of "love" for their gay friends and homosexuals in general.

**Do I bully homosexuals?** Of course not, you fools! I am against all kinds of bullying. What I am saying is "look elsewhere for the real reasons" behind high suicide rates among young homosexuals.

## **WHY I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH SOME SOCIAL HOMOSEXUALS AND "SUPPORTERS" SUCH AS YOU FOOLS**

---

First off, I don't have a problem with Eric, and thousands others like him, who do not provoke anyone, they have questions, and they seek answers. (Eric, who has emerged as the only decent person on the "debate", and he had questions not for me, but **"TO ANYONE READING THIS"**. You have ALL missed this, you fools! Days later, and still NO ONE answered Eric's questions.) With his legitimate questioning Eric acknowledges that the discomfort he feels is internal to him, and not caused by external factors such as bullying. He might say that the problem is bullying (all do, it's the easy "solution" even for Eric) but the fact he has serious questions not related to bullying is proof that deep inside he knows that something else is causing the discomfort.

People are uncomfortable living with questions and uncertainty. Unable to answer what happens after death we have created Religion. Unable to answer why so many young homosexuals commit suicide, we point the finger to bullying and to Al Poullis along with all other "homophobes". (That's just one explanation of your foolish thesis, you idiots!) I won't accept that blame. That's one reason why I have a problem with some homosexuals and their "supporters"!

I have a problem with those social homosexuals who refuse to acknowledge and inform their "supporters" too that the problem originates from deep inside them, and is a result of their environment during their upbringing and formative years. Instead, they demand that we accept their homosexuality as a matter of their **choice**. If however, they were open to learning and discovering with hard work that this wasn't a matter of choice, they would be looking elsewhere for the real reason behind the high suicide rates among young homosexuals. "A well defined problem is half-way solved". Apparently, the problem is far from

being solved, as shown by the rate of suicides, which is not getting smaller, and I this is because the correct definition is not even widely accepted just yet! This is because of fools like you! I have a problem with those who disorient the public and themselves, away from the real issue. The easy way out is to blame “Al Poullis” who doesn’t “get” the “progress”. No more blaming me and millions others like me who are afraid to speak up, you idiots.

I have a problem with those homosexuals who boast and provoke about their same-sex marriage, demanding that they even kiss in public (example) – thus confusing young kids who learn early on about the Natural roles within the family: Man and Woman. Father and Mother. Nature dictates that we need a male and a female egg to produce offspring. Even Rebecca Fada’s monkeys and penguins need that. This is how Nature controls the continuation of the species. This is not a matter of choice. It is how Nature works. **IF WE ALL WAKE UP ONE MORNING AND WE MAKE THE “CHOICE” TO BE HOMOSEXUALS, THERE WILL BE NO MORE HUMAN SPECIES IN 100 YEARS, YOU IMBECILES!** See more below why homosexuality is NOT a matter of choice, you fools!

These are the same homosexuals who say it’s their “human right” to have same-sex marriages, but I also say “it’s my human right to raise my children with the Laws of Nature, and I don’t want my children to be confused by getting exposed to contradicting displays and behaviors.” So, get married, but keep this to yourselves. Do not boast and get out in public forums posting videos yelling about your human rights. My children will then continue learning about the Laws of Nature, and you will have your families. Everyone is happy. Peace. I hope I will never need to teach my children that “same sex marriage is not a good thing”, because if I have to it would mean that I have done something wrong as a parent already! And I will tell them that it is normal to have sex with any type of people, irrespective of color, race and sex. This has NOTHING to do with homosexuality, you fools!

I have a problem with such social homosexuals because they do nothing but complain that their problem is bullying and they demand from the world to ...what do you really demand? Human rights? Of course you should have as many rights as you want, just like any other minority group. Rights, rights, rights? You should have those too. Fight against bullying? I am with you there too. I am against all forms of bullying, you fools!

### **BUT THAT IS NOT WHAT IS KILLING YOU!**

### **FALLACY: “HOMOSEXUALITY IS A MATTER OF CHOICE”**

Hypothesis: “Homosexuality is matter of choice. High suicide rate is caused by bullying, which is caused by homosexuality, which is caused by choice.”

1. Let’s assume that homosexuality is indeed a matter of choice.
2. Let’s also accept that choices are two-way, therefore the choice of becoming straight again is always available to the individual. Unless of course for some unknown-to-me exotic reason “becoming” a homosexual is an 1-way street. (If becoming a homosexual is indeed a choice, why un-becoming one shouldn’t be a choice? Does the “choice” change an individual’s biology? Fools!)
3. Let’s also note that - by definition - a hopeless person, driven to suicide, feels there are no alternatives and no further choices exist.

We must then argue that under the pressure of bullying, which as per the hypothesis is caused by the fact that the individual is homosexual, the individual would choose to become straight again (as per #2 above) and thus stop the reason that causes the bullying and consequently stop the feelings of hopelessness and

save his/her life. (It's like the automatic desire to reach for the water's surface, when we are drowning, that's if we have that possibility.)

However, the simultaneous presence of "choices" ("alternatives") and #3 above cannot happen and the hypothesis collapses. Therefore, homosexuality cannot be a matter of choice. It must be something else.

Suicides among young homosexuals are increasing. Is it possible that the true reason behind homosexuality will also lead us to the real culprit behind the high numbers of suicides among young homosexuals?

Many people confuse sexual preferences with homosexuality. These are two distinctively different things. "I like to bring another man or a woman in my straight relationship every now and then" is a matter of choice, but that's not what we are examining here. "I would like to marry my same-sex friend and start a family" is *not* a matter of choice, as explained above. I included a mention of this diakrisis initially, when I said [paraphrase] "*many people confuse homosexuality with sexual preferences*" but you morons missed that too! (Rebecca Douglas – your attempt to "understand" was at least comical. See page 19, you fool, that in your inability to understand and thus engage intellectually you dare telling me that "*maybe the only time someone used you for pleasure was a dog humping his leg!*")

**Homosexuality is a psychological problem deep inside the individual, rooted at childhood. High suicide rates are caused by internal conflicts. (See so-called "*Internal Homophobia*", on page 15, you fools!)** This is not an easy subject to accept and study thoroughly. The easy way out is to label "homophobes" as the root of the problem, shoot those down and thus satisfying your conscience and boast that "I've done my part. I can now claim I am a protector of human rights." All you do is fool yourselves – you morons! You have the happiness of a drunk man, you idiots that dare calling ME a "*redneck, ignorant fascist*", "*sexually obsessed with fucking my mom*", because you have this delusion that Froyd's Oedipus Complex, which you don't even understand, is not valid any more! (*See the first bullet on page 22 to find out why I spell "Froyd" the way I do, you fool Rebecca Fada that you want to use ...this against me in your "intellectual debate"!*)

ENOUGH WHINING – LEARN THE ROOT OF THE PROBLEM, UNDERSTAND WHY YOU FEEL EMOTIONAL DISCOMFORT, AND GET UP AND ASK FOR REAL HELP!

**ERIC DID THAT AND HE HAS MY FULL SUPPORT. HE IS ON THE RIGHT TRACK.**

**WHY DIDN'T YOU "HOMOSEXUAL SUPPORTERS", "EXPERT" MORONS ANSWER HIS QUESTION OF WHY HE IS GAY BUT HIS BROTHER IS NOT? YOU ALL THOUGHT ERIC WAS ASKING ME, DIDN'T YOU? HE SAID: "TO ANYONE READING THIS" YOU FOOLS! WHY DON'T YOU GO DISCUSS WITH HIM ABOUT HIS MOTHER?**

I objected to the video at FCKH8 and wrote that this is "going too far" because here in front of me was yet another example where homosexuals are whining! I objected because of the video's obscenity, especially communicated by children using the F-word left right and center. **LEAVE CHILDREN OUT OF THIS, YOU DANGEROUS FOOLS!** OBVIOUSLY YOU DON'T HAVE YOUR OWN CHILDREN OR ELSE YOU WOULD NOT BE SO DESENSITIZED – MORONS! I objected because for yet another instance the public is diverted elsewhere, away from the real cause of the problem, you fools! I objected, because I saw "6 'likes'" at the time, and no one even commented (either for or against). You had all chosen the easy way: "Here's a 'like' from me, I've done my duty".

But you objected to my objections and pulled me into the slaughter-house, you bullies.

Or so you thought!

Fools!

## **THIS IS WHERE OUR DIFFERENCE LIES:**

Let's accept that we all want to help. I do credit you morons with that. Our basic difference is that you are "helping" by means of a cover-up of your own internal conflicts, which subsequently diverts attention elsewhere.

**You and thousands like you are saying** "there is no problem. Homosexuality is people's choice". (See page 12 for why it is not.) And that the only problem is that society does not recognize gays' rights. Rebecca Fada the wolf pack leader says the problem is with homophobic bullies: "*we live in culture where homophobia is literally KILLING our youth*". So, in essence, you are saying: "If we kill the bullies, the problem will be solved." You even invented a word: "A Homophobe". You go as far as saying "all homophobes are bullies", but you cannot even define what a homophobe is. (Afraid of what? The word literally means "afraid of men" you idiots!) You just heard the word and you want to use it too. It sounds good. It sounds as if you know where the whole problem of homosexuality lies. And so you want me and all others whom you call "homophobes" "silenced" and "dead" so that the problem can be solved. Lindsey Williams instructed: "*Keep your comments to yourself*". "Expert" Rebecca Fada commanded: "*Drop dead!*". **Problem solved! Bravo!**

**Thousands, myself included, say** "OF COURSE THERE IS A PROBLEM". Eric is a living proof! (HAS ANY OF YOU MORONS ANSWERED HIS QUESTIONS YET? RIGHT THERE ON THE PRINCESSE'S FACEBOOK PAGE?) Did any of you "expert" fools even bothered to answer his post and questions? He addressed everyone, NOT me, you idiots! "*To anyone reading this*" is what he wrote. **WHERE ARE YOUR ANSWERS, YOU FOOLS?**

The problem is not even a homosexual's fear of rejection by society. **The real problem is his or her fear of rejection by him/herself, coupled by feelings of guilt.** The real problem is that they listen to you fools, who are telling them that the problem is bullying, therefore they never bother to seek real help, something that would eventually lead them to the real reasons behind their homosexuality. (I tried to entice a discussion in my posts, when I said "tough/rough father for girls and crazy/neurotic mother for boys is the culprit." (see page 3, my post of October 20 at 1:13pm) Why didn't you fools engage intellectually? Because you didn't understand. Fortunately for you fools, but unfortunately for those who really need help, even those who do not understand and are clueless and have nothing to offer on a particular very serious subject, they are still allowed to speak. **This** is the root of the problem. Rebecca Fada the "expert" on homosexuality rushed to disclaim, shooting down one of the experts (Karen Horney) because Karen Horney, according to Rebecca Fada, "[paraphrase]is not contemporary". (Find the related material on page 22, you fool Rebecca Fada, you who dare calling ME an ignorant fascist!)

## **"INTERNAL HOMOPHOBIA" – THE REAL CAUSE BEHIND HIGH RATES OF SUICIDE BETWEEN YOUNG HOMOSEXUALS.**

Go research the subject of so-called "internal homophobia", and learn about its tremendous implications to someone's psyche, that shatters the confidence, you "expert" morons. Out of curiosity to see what data exists on a quick search on this well known subject among experts and therapists, I grabbed a copy just a moment ago from <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Homophobia>.

**YOU DANGEROUS FOOLS! YOU AND THE THOUSANDS OF OTHER VICTIMS OF YOUR OWN INTERNAL INSECURITIES AND EXTERNAL PROPAGANDA – YOU MORONS WHO ARE COMPLETELY IGNORANT OF THE DAMAGE YOU ARE MAKING AND THE RISK YOU ARE TAKING, YOU WHO ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR PERPETUATING THE PROBLEM. YOU, WHO INSTEAD OF TRULY HELPING ERIC AND THOUSANDS OTHERS LIKE HIM YOU PUSH THEM DEEPER DOWN: YOU, REBECCA FADA, THE "EXPERT" WHO THINGS HOMOSEXUALITY IS A SIMPLE THING AND ENGAGES SO LIGHTLY, READ BELOW YOU FOOL, AND PAY PARTICULAR ATTENTION TO THE UNDERLINED TEXT:**

Internalized homophobia (or egodystonic homophobia) refers to negative feeling towards oneself because of homosexuality.[45][46] This term has been criticized because holding negative attitudes does not necessarily involve a phobia, and the term "internalized stigma" is sometimes used instead.[45] It causes severe discomfort with or disapproval of one's own sexual orientation.

Such a situation may cause extreme repression of homosexual desires.[47] In other cases, a conscious internal struggle may occur for some time, often pitting deeply held religious or social beliefs against strong sexual and emotional desires. This discordance often causes clinical depression, and the unusually high suicide rate among gay teenagers (up to 30 percent of non-heterosexual youth attempt suicide) has been attributed to this phenomenon.[48] Psychotherapy, such as gay affirmative psychotherapy, and participation in a sexual-minority affirming group can help resolve the internal conflict between a religious and a sexual identity.[45]

**You are SUCH fools!** All of you! Even the professional comedians at the bottom of your post, who are supposed to be serious, "expert" Rebecca Fada, who also fell in the social networking trap and they want to "impress".

Footnote #48 leads to a dead link from Wikipedia and I couldn't validate these numbers. For you fools not answering Eric's answers is not enough proof, therefore I must modify the statement "*bullying is not the reason behind high suicide rates among young homosexuals*", to "**bullying has very little to do – if anything – with the high suicide rates among young homosexuals.**" In fact, my thesis still remains that one of the main issues here is that instead of homosexuals admitting that the discomfort they feel stems from within themselves and seek help, they participate in the Toronto Pride Parade (example) or publish video productions like the one from FCKH8.com (another example), completely and utterly disorienting themselves and the public as to where the problem really is. That is one of the reasons why I have a problem with some social homosexuals and their supporters, who back this fallacy! Except Eric and many others like Eric, who come forward with real questions! I applaud him for that, and I will keep asking you fools throughout: WHY DIDN'T YOU "EXPERTS" ANSWER HIS VALID QUESTIONS? THEY WERE ADDRESSED TO "ANYONE READING THIS".

I did say "... *animals have sex for reproduction purposes only*", which you went on to prove wrong. Although I still have my reservations about this statement, thank you for the intervention, and the reference to animals having sex not only for reproduction. I find this interesting and one day I might catch a documentary on Discovery, on this completely unrelated subject. However, I am not interested in animal sexuality. I am interested in human behavioral patterns. You can keep comparing yourselves to animals, but stop asking me to do the same! The point I made right at the very beginning was that homosexuality is a social phenomenon, not a biological one. (See page 10.) You missed the point, and went on making useless references to animals, to prove what I had already said at the very beginning. You are SUCH fools!

---

## **“EXPERT” REBECCA FADA AND WHAT “INTELLECTUAL ENGAGEMENT” IS NOT:**

---

My initial statement was:

*“I don't know how to teach my kids that nature has so many flaws, simply because it isn't true. If you don't understand this don't just flame me in your inability to engage intellectually.”*

*(Read the exegesis of my opening statement on page 10.)*

Obviously, no one understood this line, otherwise someone would have engaged intellectually. Or do you really think that anything of what you fools said was “intellectual engagement”?

### **Let's see:**

“Intellectual engagement” is not attempting to pose as an “expert”. “Intellectual engagement” would be providing your own thesis, coming up with your own personal position on such an important issue, say something you can claim ownership on, instead of Googling the subject matter and stealing from online sources. For example:

Rebecca Fada wrote *“Its a very simple thing to explain. Homosexual behaviour can be found in over 1500 species!”* .

*“Its a very simple thing to explain.”* - is hers.

Starting from *“Homosexual behaviour can be found in over 1500 species!”* - blended right there in the very same sentence – is not. It is taken exactly as-is from <http://www.news-medical.net/news/2006/10/23/20718.aspx>. She blends it with her own snippet of a sentence to make the whole sentence sound as if it comes from her, the “expert”. It didn't take her long to reveal her source. A sign of ethos from someone who calls a stranger “ignorant fascist” perhaps? Hallelujah!

By the way, “expert” Rebecca Fada, your article on <http://www.news-medical.net/news/2006/10/23/20718.aspx> other than its hidden agenda, is just not enough! Who is the author? When and under what circumstances was it written? Do you have access to other data and cross-references that back this data? Science – you “expert” fool and your pack of bullies who rushed to declare a winner of you (as if such a discussion should have a winner!), science - is not done this way! The comment by a reader of the original article was spot-on: [Paraphrase] *“How do they know that the homosexual animal couples are often better at raising the young than heterosexual couples? Did they sit down and talk with hundreds of geese parents and do surveys?”* How could scientists ever link their observations on “better raising their youngs” to their parents homosexuality? Fools who believe an article with a hidden agenda!

Rebecca Fada, the scientific “expert” you are, would you care to enlighten your friends, who really believed you are an “expert” on the subject, and fully supported the way you “shot down” the “random” “troll” (**Amy Porter**, you didn't have to hide)? Discrediting the article brought-in as evidence by homosexuality “expert” Rebecca Fada is not the purpose of this paper. However, go read more of the online comments at the bottom of that article online. You will surely be entertained. **Scuddd** and the **ape with the tuxedo** (page 21) will be inspired ; - )

You have not even read the research by Bruce Bagemihl. (I haven't either, but I am not the one attempting to fool everyone else that I am the science expert. I am not an expert on the subject. I read, debate and “debate”, ask, learn, progress and re-adjust, but I am far from being an expert.) **Neither Roy nor Silo, the two male chinstrap penguins at New York's Central Park Zoo committed suicide, you moron! And THAT'S our real problem here. You are all SUCH fools, who dare calling me an “ignorant fascist”.**

**Calling a friend of a friend a “redneck, ignorant fascist”, “sexually obsessed with fucking his [your] mom” and “my [your] love for Nazi science is not surprising” is definitely not “intellectual engagement”.**

I dare you: If I was someone you knew in personal life would you ever call me these names? It is easy to cover behind the Internet, “expert” Rebecca Fada. I should show up at your doorstep, you moron, and demand that you go immediately and find your friend Eric and give him your “expert” opinion about his homosexuality and talk about his mother, is what I should do! You fool who claimed that I am “*sexually obsessed with fucking [my] mom*” and then you expected to have an “intellectual engagement” with me.

You stated: “*If you need to explain to your kids why homosexuality is not a FLAW and is a NATURAL thing you could perhaps open a BOOK before you make comments about the "flaws of nature". Its a very simple thing to explain.*” Of course it is simple – everything about homosexuality is “simple” because you are an “expert” on the subject, you fool.

<p><b>WARNING</b> (AND TAKE MY WARNINGS SERIOUSLY THIS TIME): DO NOT EVER AGAIN BRING MY CHILDREN IN YOUR DISCUSSIONS, EITHER TO ME OR TO OTHERS, AND HOW/WHAT I EXPLAIN TO THEM. EVER AGAIN.</p>
---

You say you have a “*strong belief that we all have a right to exist the way we see fit providing it is not hurting anyone*”, yet you deny me that right to exist and along with your pack of bullies you wanted to stone me to death. “*Drop dead*” you commanded me at the end. You deny me the right to exist, because you think I am a “homophobe” and you believe that “*homophobia is literally killing our youth*”. How convenient! (See “*Internal Homophobia*” on page 15 for the truth, you fool!) You have done your duty and stood-up for homosexuals very well. You may now wash my blood clean from your hands, you hypocrite treacherous fool!

## THE REST OF THE PACK

Trying to pick a street fight is not “intellectual engagement” either, you **Cameron Green**, that you are “laughing your ass off”, bleeding with sarcasm for the cornering of the “karate, psychology and politics” guy. The same Cameron Green who proclaimed the “winner” of the “debate”. **You fool**, this wasn’t supposed to be a war! But now that you “war” has “ended” and you have declared the “winner” and the enemy “dropped dead” as Rebecca Fada, the leader of the pack, commanded, why don’t YOU go answer Eric’s questions, you moron?

Not only did you not have the common decency to stop your foolish girlfriend from her unprecedented personal attacks, instead you joined her! On top of it, a couple of days after the “war” ended you still wanted to provoke and pick a fight, the fool that you are. It’s easy – you think – when you hide behind the Internet. That’s when you sent the private message below, continuing to spit and throw mud and flames. You even had the audacity to challenge me on the definition of words and subjects which I have known since 2000+ years ago! You are SUCH a fool!

*“I hope you’ve been following all the responses and comments on the debate. I also hope you have picked a legitimate source of information to research the word “debate” and come to terms with the fact that your face was smeared with stone cold hard facts. You and everyone like you make me sick because you take everything that’s good in this world and force it to take one step backwards.  
Ps. Dinosaurs existed. Millions of years ago.”*

What “responses and comments” you idiot? Where was the debate and I missed it, you fool that you dare challenge ME on the definition of “debate”? Go ask another Greek what “είσαι ένα σκατό, ένας ηλίθιος καραγκιόζης” means, you moron!

There is no meat left to eat you hungry fool, I have “dropped dead”, and was chased out of the princess’s facebook page, remember? Take your Rebecca Fada and all Rebecca Fadas out there, who expertly proclaim that homosexuality “is a very simple thing to explain” and go discuss with Eric “how children happen to turn out to be gay”. Do not rush to think “It’s their choice”. As per the false hypothesis on page 12, this is the wrong answer, you idiot! And don’t forget to ask Eric about his mother.

*“For a guy that lists his interest as karate, psychology and politics... You would have expected a greater response....lmao.”*  
Are you are feeling my response **now**, you fool?

**Rebecca Douglas:** You are the one who first introduced something “new” in the “debate” – I must give you that: You spoke of the “random” guy that dared to “creep” your friend’s facebook. “...sorry random, you’re making a fool of yourself here in Winnipeg”- you said.

Do you still think I am “pretty defensive”, you fool, who dared tell me that “**maybe the only time someone used me for pleasure was a dog humping his leg**”?

Unlike your friend Rebecca Fada the “expert” you did use your own lines, but – oh my - you wouldn’t shut up! “I wonder why he seems to think having sex for pleasure is so “unnatural”. POINT ME TO WHERE I SAID THAT, YOU MORON!

**YOU DON’T EVEN KNOW ME OR MY WIFE, AND YOU INSULT BOTH OF US! FOOL!**

**WARNING (AND YOU TOO TAKE MY WARNINGS SERIOUSLY THIS TIME): DO NOT EVER BRING MY WIFE IN YOUR DISCUSSIONS, EITHER TO ME OR TO OTHERS. EVER.**

YOUR motive is right there, staring you in the face, you fool: “Love you Rebecca, and your strong voice!!!”: “Strong” as in “loud, vulgar and obnoxious”, absolutely! Social networking in all its glory!

Giving voice to every idiot out there with nothing important to say, longing for that cool sense of belonging to a social group, feeling you are doing the “right” and “moral thing” protecting a self-proclaimed group leader (the Rebecca Fadas of our societies) from the “random” enemy.

**There is nothing “random” about my presence in Rebecca Fada’s facebook you fools! The door was open. I saw the post on MY facebook page you imbeciles! If you cannot handle this “randomness” (let’s just call it the “3<sup>rd</sup> party voice” for now) tighten up you facebook security and stay “cool” and safe, hidden behind your on-line avatar. I am as random as anyone you meet in a party, or in a café, or in a club for the first time – you morons! You knew my name, where I am from, and my relation to your group. What exactly bothered you? This is the subject of a separate book in psychology on “Social Identities”, you pack of fools with a leader that things homosexuality is a very simple thing to explain!**

*“Rebecca, I think what Al’s mumble jumble means is that he wishes that he were gay.” Magdalena Kupczak was at least decent, and perhaps the only one of the pack of wolves with an argument that could be valid. Indeed, it is a widely acceptable possibility that many of those who are “opposing” homosexuality are in fact suppressing their own homosexuality. Sorry to disappoint you Magdalena. Not true in my case. Too bad you couldn’t understand a word of what I wrote. At least you had the courage to admit it!*

### **“LET ME THROUGH, I GOT HERE LATE!”**

Sorry bud, you ARE late; only the carcass is left! Vultures finished the job. Nothing left for you. *“I’m not even going to weigh in on the topic because there’s no need”*. What “need” you foolish bully **Richard Allan Jo** that you want-in just to give a punch or two too to the “helpless victim” that was “cornered” by the time you had arrived; you didn’t even understand the topic!

I hereby challenge you Richard Allan Jo: **WHAT WAS THE TOPIC?** You have 10 seconds. 10...5...3,2,1, buzz! I thought so!

*“It seems to me you were here more to push an opinion that nobody asked for and thought that it might go unchallenged”*. One of the reasons social networking of all sorts is important – you foolish master bullie that you “wanted-in” to share the victory - is that it allows people to express their opinions out in the open, among strangers, in clubs, at the bar or on facebook – you morons that wanted to silence the “random” guy, yet your insults kept pouring in! **I DIDN’T NEED ANYONE’S PERMISSION THEN, BECAUSE THE POST WAS ON A PUBLICLY-ACCESSIBLE WEBSITE, AND I DON’T NEED ANYONE’S PERMISSION NOW TO TELL YOU WHAT HUGE FOOLS YOU ALL ARE!** Does Rebecca Fada - the “expert” who thinks homosexuality is a “*simple thing*” - know about facebook security settings and she is playing us all here? Let’s ask: Rebecca Fada: Do you allow “random” Joes into your facebook intentionally? Then again, **Eric didn’t get answers to HIS questions, why would Rebecca Fada bother to answer mine?**

*“It’s this younger generation that consider the possibilities endless for how far humanity can advance.”* I completely agree with you on this one, Richard Allan Jo. You have no idea how much I back and support both your statement and the younger generation. **Myself going out of my way to write this to all you fools speaks volumes of my real motives. Short-sighted morons all of you!**

Let me tell you - Richard Allan Jo - what just happened here: Some social stranger voices an opinion on a very important subject, the opinion is masterfully and utterly distorted by a pack of wolves and their leader Rebecca Fada, who went ahead with all they had calling the stranger “*redneck, ignorant fascist*”, “*sexually obsessed with fucking [his] mom*” and that “[*his*] love for Nazi science is not surprising”, and “*maybe the only time someone used [you] for pleasure was a dog humping his leg*”. And you dare telling

me that “*I thought MY opinion would go unchallenged?*” You rushed to claim part of the “kill”, but why didn’t YOU go answer Eric’s questions and discuss with him about his mother, you fool?

**Melanie Scott** – what exactly are you laughing out loud about? Eric’s real questions to everyone are not laughing matter you fool! **What was YOUR answer to Eric’s questions?** “*oh my word....it’s one thing to creep on facebook.... but it is just weird to make comments and get into debates with people you don’t know..*” EVERY DAY you make comments and get into debates with people you don’t know, you spoiled brat that you are asking ME to “*get a life*”, a stranger you don’t even know, whose words you cannot even bother to understand!

“*..get a life loser! I do agree that Reba you handled this better than I would have! lol*”. Well, “Reba” your friend surely provided answers to Eric. Fool, both you and your “expert” friend! Do you really think that hiding behind your Internet avatar gave you and your friends the safety and the right to call a social stranger “*redneck, ignorant fascist*”, “*sexually obsessed with fucking his mom*” ?

**“AL I AM KIMS COUSIN AND I WOULD APPRECIATE IF YOU KEEP YOUR COMMENTS TO YOURSELF.”**

---

**Lindsey Williams** – How on earth did it occur to you that being Kim’s cousin gave you the right and the authority to ask me to “*keep my comments to myself*”? You were not the one being cornered and bullied and personally humiliated by a pack of hungry wolves. I was the one being called “*redneck, ignorant fascist*”, “*sexually obsessed with fucking his mom*” and “*[my] love for Nazi science is not surprising*”, NOT YOU! **You too wanted ME “silenced”, but YOU kept talking!**

“*Some guys marry guys. Get over it*” you wrote, revealing that you too missed the whole point and joined the pack! Where is YOUR reply to Eric, when he asked how come he is gay but his brother who was brought in pretty much the say way, is not?

“*Team Reeba = Lovin’ gays 24/7 and I am glad I am on the team!*”

First, you instruct me to stop talking, but then YOU come back for another comment! Bullying at its best from you too! The door swings both ways, Lindsey Williams.

Who was on the other “team”? Haven’t you realized that your “team” is a bunch of bullies? Not only was I “alone” here, but you also had the audacity to want me silenced, using the “authority” of being Kim’s cousin. You wanted to take away from me one of the most basic rights anyone can have: The right to defend one’s self. What do you think THAT makes you?

***Lindsey, you guys behaved exactly as bullies, and this is what you say are fighting against! You have all emerged as the biggest hypocrites and bigots! Not understanding what I wrote is not an excuse. It SUITED you “not to understand”. Otherwise, you would have asked for clarifications. What if indeed you were all successful in silencing me? What if I wasn’t able to speak-up and defend myself? Think about this for a second please. You might grasp something very saddening...***

**Dan Licoppe:** Sir, please put your ape with the tuxedo to work for a good cause. Eric's questions are not laughing matter.

And wait until we all make the “choice” with no one left behind to breed. That would be a fault of a society run amok. Back to you **scuddd-Charlie**.

## ON KAREN HORNEY AND HOW VALID ARE “OLD” ARGUMENTS AND FINDINGS

**Have you really thought, “expert” Rebecca Fada, that my silence on your argument on Karen Horney meant I didn’t have an answer?** You have never heard the name before: (*“oh and I just looked into something since I knew I shouldn’t of taken your word that Karen Horney was a student of Freud.”*). Had you known about her you would have already known her relationship to Froyd. **“YOU JUST QUOTED A PSYCHOLOGICAL RESEARCHER WHO STARTED MEDICAL SCHOOL IN 1906?”** (The capitals are yours, not mine.)

Rebecca Fada’s first argument to disqualify a stellar psychologist was to say that *“[Karen Horney] recalled her father as a pedophile... and her mother left her father , taking the children with her. I guess with those credentials Karen Horney really does know how to say things best about family life!!!!”* You said it ironically, but it is exactly true, you “expert” fool! One of the reasons she became such a stellar researcher with so many contributions is her recollections from her rough upbringing. You are SUCH an idiot!

Your second “argument” was even more interesting: You denounced a great researcher, who contributed so much to social psychology and our understanding about neurosis by claiming that the researcher is not contemporary because she practiced in the early 1900s.

According to you, “expert” Rebecca Fada, what is “contemporary, therefore valid”? Is 5-year old data valid? How about 10-year old? 50? Ok, last call: How about information from researchers who lived 99 years ago? Too high? But you told us already – you fool - that 100 year-old work is outdated. So the number we are looking for must be less than 100, yes?

Well,

- the “Oedipus complex” (which you fools will rush to discredit and run to Google for the proof) , the “complex” that *Φρόνυτ* borrowed (**that’s how it’s spelled in Greek you moron “expert” Rebecca Fada , which explains why I write “Froyd”**), is 2000 years old you fool, and it still holds! It requires parents of both sexes in order to be “broken” and provide the prerequisites for a balanced personality, away from homosexual behaviour, attributes and fears. Two mothers or two fathers won’t do, you idiot, and everyone else who thinks that growing children within a homosexual family is “progress”. By the way, you fool Rebecca Fada, it’s not a matter of *“NO ONE agrees with Freud anymore”*! Science and progress just doesn’t work this way, you fool! When you deeply study something you learn from Froyd, and from Karen Horney (examples), then disagree with all of them, then question yourself and you start from the beginning and redo the process, discussing the matter with experts and share your findings with theirs, until you reach a thesis. You don’t just steal paragraphs from questionable Internet Googled articles, posing as an “expert” on the matter saying that issues related to homosexuality are *“easy to explain”*.
- Aristotle’s suggestion that the mind and the body are aspects of the same person with the mind being one of the body’s functions, is still valid today. Aristotle lived in (approx.) 300BC, you fool, and his suggestions are still valid. Read the “Astonishing Hypothesis” (1994) from Francis Crick, Nobel price in Medicine, about the scientific study of psyche and consciousness, you “expert” that you think discussing anything related to homosexuality is *“a very simple thing”*. This is the type of books you will find in my personal library, you fool, who dare calling me an “ignorant fascist”, “sexually obsessed with fucking [my] mom”. If you were nearby, I would put you on my knees and smack you on the butt, is what I would do, you little spoiled brat with no manners!

- “Νους υγιής εν σώματι υγιεί” – αγράμματο χούφταλο, and start by Googling this too, you may discover one of the keys to the perfect human body and character, still valid since antiquity, 2000+ years ago, you fool that you think Karen Horney, whom you Googled the moment I mentioned the name, is outdated!
- Psychoanalysis – first utilized in the scientific method in antiquity, still used today, 2000+ years later, you idiot! You think you have access to scientifically proven evidence, and you dare call “[my] arguments [are] as strong as ones that would be presented in the existence of Santa Clause.” Fool!

Had we met – say - in Kim’s real-life party, would I still be a “random guy” and would you call me a “redneck, ignorant fascist”, “sexually obsessed with fucking [my] mom” and “[my] love for Nazi science is not surprising”? I don’t think you would. Your friends might rush to boast again to your defense and to protect the leader of their pack “yeah, she surely fuckin’ would, you don’t know what a bitch she is!” but even if you did, believe me, my reaction would have been **dramatically different, and instantaneous**, you fool! But I say you wouldn’t behave like that in real life. Think about this on your own, you “expert” researcher, who thinks that homosexuality is “a very simple thing to explain”. The protection and safety you thought you had hiding behind facebook is now gone, you fool.

If you want to “understand”, and learn - so that you can help your friends and your future patients - stop flaming and start researching, you fool! You might then be able to start understanding sexuality in ancient Greece – by many referred to as the first signs of homosexuality in our societies. Learn what “archetypes” are, and where exactly to look for the reasons behind social homosexuality, and much more importantly what is causing such a high number of suicides among young homosexuals. There is no simple, easy answer for fools like you; your own idiosyncrasies and internal conflicts and resistances do not allow you to “see”, just as is the case with so many others like you.

The subject of homosexuality is polymorphic, with multiple implications on personality. It has multi-faceted sensitivities, and every attempt to provide “help” without owning the subject matter is at least irresponsible and dangerous. You don’t have the points of reference to understand. You don’t even have the desire to learn. You just like flaming and bullying those with objections, so that you can emerge as the winner and impress your followers and facebook buddies. It’s your right to try to impress your friends and keep your “crown”, but it’s not your right, you idiot, to unleash such an unprecedented personal attack against me, and bully me, or any other like me who challenges you on such an important and sensitive issue.

The blame is of course not entirely yours! The “veil of protection” for the “poor homosexuals” and the huge cover-up was developed partly in the Political world for political gain. Go ask Hillary Clinton’s PR team to tell you exactly why they wrote her speech on homosexuals that you posted on your facebook, and you “dedicated to the Random **BIGOT** who felt the need to spread his ignorance **ALL** over my previous facebook video.” (The capitals are yours, you fool Rebecca Fada who wanted to silence me and commanded me to “drop dead”, YOU call ME an “ignorant fascist”!)

Rebecca Fada, YOU CHEATED by posing as an “expert” and claiming triumphant victories over the “random bully” – YOU, who called a stranger whom you didn’t even know “redneck, ignorant fascist”, “sexually obsessed with fucking [his] mom” and “[his] love for Nazi science is not surprising” because you didn’t understand his words, or because you interpreted what suited you, or because you disagreed with what you thought he said! **YOU are the bully, you treacherous fool!**

**Eric Kyrzyk**

---

**THIS BRAVE GUY ERIC, WHOM I HAVE SO MUCH RESPECT FOR, HAD SOME VERY IMPORTANT QUESTIONS TO ASK. (SEE BELOW, YOU IDIOTS.) HE ADDRESSED HIS QUESTIONS TO “ANYONE READING THIS” YOU FOOLS, YET NONE OF YOU “EXPERTS” WITH “MODERN SCIENCE DATA” RESPONDED. YOU WERE TOO BUSY DEVOURING YOUR KILL AND CELEBRATING YOUR VICTORY AGAINST THE “BULLY”.**

**FOOLS!**

*- I have a brother and we we're both raised the same way in a somewhat religious house. We had exposure to many different aspects of life including both sports and culture. I'm gay. He is not. So what happened?”*

*- How would you treat your own children if they were gay?*

*- Would YOU be considered flawed for producing a gay child?*

*- Would they be flaws of nature? Even as a baby?*

*- Later in life, what if they never told you they were gay? What if they did? Would you love them less when they come out?*

**AND DO NOT RUSH TO ANSWER THE FIRST ONE WITH “IT WAS HIS CHOICE”. SEE PAGE 12 TO UNDERSTAND THE FALLACY, YOU FOOLS!**

---

Eric, start at page 15, then at what your friend Rebecca Fada, the “expert”, calls “outdated”:  
“*Our Inner Conflicts*”, first published in 1966, ISBN: 0-393-30940-1, by Karen Horney, who in the early 1940’s in New York was one of the founders of the American Institute of Psychoanalysis.

---

**FOOLS!**

Off to give my 7-year old daughter a hug and a kiss-goodnight...